## epd-norway

Global Program Operator
Publisher: The Norwegian EPD Foundation

## Environmental <br> Product <br> Declaration

In accordance with ISO 14025:2006 and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 for:

## SH - Lyssand 105, outward opening side hung window wood

from
Lyssand

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$|$



## General information

## Programme information

| Programme: | The International EPD ${ }^{\circledR}$ System |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | EPD International AB |
|  | Box 210 60 |
|  | SE-100 31 Stockholm |
|  | Sweden |
| Website: | $\underline{\text { www.environdec.com }}$ |
| E-mail: | $\underline{\text { info@environdec.com }}$ |


| Accountabilities for PCR, LCA and independent, third-party verification |
| :--- |
| Product Category Rules (PCR) |
| CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the Core Product Category Rules (PCR) |
| Product Category Rules (PCR): Construction products and construction services. Version 1.1. |
| PCR review was conducted by: PCR Committee: IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute, <br> Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, SP Trä, Swedish Wood Preservation Institute, Swedisol, <br> SCDA, Svenskt Limträ AB, SSAB <br> Moderator: Martin Erlandsson, IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute. <br> Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) <br> LCA accountability: Carla Coelho \& Sofia Lindroth, Miljögiraff AB <br> Third-party verification <br> Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via: <br> $\boxtimes$ EPD verification by individual verifier <br> Third-party verifier: Martyna Mikusinska, Sweco <br> Approved by: The International EPD® System <br> Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third party verifier: <br> $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No |

The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.
EPDs within the same product category but from different programs may not be comparable. EPDs of construction products may not be comparable if they do not comply with EN 15804. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.

## Company information

Owner of the EPD: Lyssand-Frekhaug AS, Ulsmågveien 7, 5224 Nesttun
Contact: Christian Tollefsen
Description of the organisation: Lyssand $A B$, is one the largest window manufacturers in Norway, the windows are sold nation-wide and are made for the demanding climate of the long Norwegian coastline. Lyssand has produced windows since 1947 and is part of the Inwido group.

## Average or specific EPD: Average

This EPD is averaged for the production in regard to the production sites. Production is done by Elitfönster which are part of the same group as Lyssand, the Inwido group. Production is taking place in both Vetlanda and Lenhovda. An average weighted number is presented in the results table based on the production volume from the different sites. This difference is within $+/-10 \%$, for all impact categories.

## Product information

An outward opening side-hung window in wood and an insulating glass with 3 glass planes. The windows casement is hung via hinges on the side piece and opens outwards. The weight of the finished window is $37,23 \mathrm{~kg}$ per m².

According to the Construction Products Regulation CPR (EU) no. 305/2011, the essential properties of products must be declared in the CE marking and Declaration of Performance. The technical properties of the window are reported in the following Declaration of performance, which can be accessed on Elitfönster's website or by scanning the QR code on the product.
DoP nr 61-29-CE1040201

## Insulating glass:

The glass consists of a float glass that is coated with a
 thin film of metal oxide that lets through short-wave solar energy and reflects long-wave room heat. The coating is almost completely transparent, but there is some difference in light input between coated glass and uncoated glass. Coated glass is used to achieve better insulating performance.

An insulating glass consists of glasses that are separated from each other by spacers, these spaces can be filled with argon to give the insulating glass a better insulating ability. Argon does not affect sunlight radiation but improves the insulating ability of the insulating glass.

## This EPD regards:

Lyssand 105 is a 3-layer window with high insulating capacity on a 105 mm deep frame. The three glasses are separated by two spacers made of plastic (hot edge). The inner and outer glass are coated and both glass spaces are filled with argon.


## LCA information

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Functional Unit } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The functional unit used in this report is } 1 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text {. } \\ \text { The weight of the finished window is 37.23 kg per m². } \\ \text { Standard size for the window is 1230 x 1480mm. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Reference Service Life } \\ \text { (RSL) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The RSL is set to 40 years. For the windows expected life length to be realised, } \\ \text { it is paramount that instructions for assembly and care provided by the } \\ \text { manufacturer are followed. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Product group } \\ \text { UN CPC 42120 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Goal and Scope } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The result will be used to understand where the environmental burden for the } \\ \text { product occurs during the life cycle and aim to lay a road map for development } \\ \text { to reduce this burden. The result will be communicated by the International EPD } \\ \text { system. All site-specific data refers to the period between 2019 and 2022. Scope } \\ \text { is cradde-tograve and module D. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Manufacturing Sites } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Brogarrdsgatan 1, 574 38, Vetlanda, Sweden } \\ \text { Industrigatan, 360 73, Lenhovda, Sweden }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Geographical Area } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Europe } \\ \text { This EPD follows the "accounting" LCA approach which is defined as an } \\ \text { attributional LCA in the ISO 14040 standard (ISO, 2006b). } \\ \text { The EPD is compliant with: } \\ \text { - ISO 14025 (ISO, 2006a) } \\ \text { - ISO 14044 (ISO, 2006c) } \\ \text { General Programme Instructions for the International EPD® System, } \\ \text { version 4.0 (EPD International, 2021a) } \\ \text { - EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 (CEN, 2019) }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Product Category Rules PCR 2019:14. Construction products and } \\ \text { construction services. Version 1.11(EPD International, 2021b) }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | The product is assumed to require $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of soap, $200 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of cleaning <br> solution, 10 liters $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of water and $5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of lubrication oil per year. <br> The used window is assumed to be transported 75 km to the closest waste <br> management facility. There it is disassembled, and the following waste treatment <br> activities performed: <br> $-\quad$ Aluminium and steel are recycled with a spillage of $3 \%$. <br> $-\quad$ Glass is landfilled at $100 \%$ landfilling rate <br> $-\quad$ Wood, paint, plastic, rubber and misc. is assumed to be incinerated with <br> energy recovery at a municipal incineration plant at $90 \%$ efficiency rate. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Waste not recycled or incinerated is assumed to go to landfill. |
| Allocations | As the manufacturing site produces different products, allocation of energy and <br> inputs required in the fabrication of the product was based on its manufacturing <br> complexity. Therefore a simple product would be allocated less resources than a <br> more complex product. |
| Impact Assessment <br> methods | Potential environmental impacts are calculated with Environmental Footprint 3.0 <br> method as implemented in SimaPro 9.4.0.2. Resource use values are calculated <br> from Cumulative Energy Demand <br> V1.11. |
| Based on LCA Report | Life Cycle Assessment of Windows from Lyssand, Miljögiraff report 1121 |

System diagram:


## More information:

Lyssand is part of Inwido Group with among others Elitfönster, which do the production of the windows for Lyssand. Elitfönster has its production in Sweden with the following manufacturing procedure:

The wood raw material used is pine supplied by FSC-labelled and / or PEFC-labelled suppliers that glues and finger joins the wood raw material. The wood is cut and planed and processed at the production site in Vetlanda, the finished wood details are surface treated with a water-based paint system. Elitfönster's own glass factory, IGF in Lenhovda, uses flat glass from Europe's largest glass manufacturer. IGF cuts the glass and manufactures the insulating glass. The glass is installed in the product in the manufacturing unit in Vetlanda. Aluminium profiles are delivered by Hydro in Vetlanda, they are processed and powder coated on A-paint in Sävsjö, then transported to the manufacturing unit in Vetlanda or Lenhovda for final assembly. The finished windows are packed on a wooden pallet with plywood slats and cardboard corners and plasticised with shrink plastic. The windows are transported on pallets by truck to the customer.

To produce $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ product, $18,4 \mathrm{kWh}$ of electricity is used as well as $13,1 \mathrm{kWh}$ of heat from own combustion of wood waste created during production and $0,017 \mathrm{~kg}$ biogas. Electricity is certified wind power electricity. In total, around $20 \%$ of the total incoming raw materials becomes production waste. A large part of the waste is wood.

During usage, no indoor emissions arise. The paint used is water based and all the other raw materials do not cause any emissions.

This EPD uses input data from other EPDs, the used EPDs can be viewed below:

| Material | EPD name | EPD specifications |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uncoated glass by Pilkington | Flat glass, toughened safety glass and laminated safety glass | Sector-EPD for flat plane glas <br> Manufacturer: Pilkington AB <br> EPD Owner: Bundesverband Flachglas e.V. <br> EPD Author: ift Rosenheim GmbH <br> EPD Platform: ift Rosenheim GmbH <br> Geography: Germany <br> Publication number: M-EPD-FEV-GB-002000 <br> Publication date: 2017-12-18 |
| Uncoated glass by Guardian | Uncoated flat glass, laminated safety glass and coated flat glass | Manufacturer: Guardian Europé S.a.r.I. <br> EPD Owner: Guardian Europé S.a.r.I. <br> EPD Author: ift Rosenheim GmbH <br> EPD Platform: ift Rosenheim GmbH <br> Geography: Germany <br> Publication number: EPD-GFEV-GB-19.2 Publication <br> date: 2021-06-29 |
| Distance ledge | TGI-Spacer M | Manufacturer: Technoform <br> EPD Owner: Technoform <br> EPD Author: Technoform <br> EPD platform: INIES <br> Geography: France <br> Publication number: 7-333:2019 <br> Publication date: 2019-06-15 |
| Pine by Stora Enso | Industrial Components | Manufacturer: Stora Enso <br> EPD Owner: Stora Enso <br> EPD Author: Stora Enso <br> EPD platform: The International EPD® System Geography: Sweden, Finland, Estonia, Lithuania Publication number: S-P-02154 <br> Publication date: 2020-08-03 |

Modules declared, geographical scope, share of specific data (in GWP-GHG indicator) and data variation:

|  | Product stage |  |  | Construction process stage |  | Use stage |  |  |  |  |  |  | End of life stage |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 오 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{\Omega}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\vdots}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | әsn К6ıәuә ןeuо!̣еıәdo |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 능 } \\ & \text { ㅇ } \\ & \text { त్ } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | бu!ssəכoud әısem | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{N}} \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Module | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B5 | B6 | B7 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 |
| Modules declared | X | X | X | X | X | MND | X | MND | MND | MND | MND | MND | MND | X | X | X |
| Geography | EUR | EUR | SE | SE | NO |  | NO |  |  |  |  |  |  | NO | NO | NO |
| Specific data used |  | >90\% |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Variation products |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variation - } \\ & \text { sites } \end{aligned}$ |  | <10\% |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Resource recovery stage |
| :---: |
|  |
| D |
| X |
| NO |
| - |
| - |
| - |

Modules declared: ( $X=$ included MND = module not declared)

## Content information

Product content for SH size $1230 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1480 \mathrm{~mm}$, weight and share recycled (post-consumer) material for the raw material and packaging:

| Products components | Weight, kg | Post-consumer material, weight-\% | Renewable material, weight-\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glass | 40,76 | 9\% | 0\% |
| Argon | 0,02 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Distance list | 0,39 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Edge sealing compound | 0,79 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Butyl | 0,19 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Desiccant | 0,30 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Pinewood | 16,99 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Wood board | 0,00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Surface treatment for pine | 3,66 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Aluminum | 0,43 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Powder coating aluminum | 0,01 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  <br> Miscellaneous steel parts | 2,78 | 23\% | 0\% |
| Plastic | 0,83 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Rubber EPDM | 0,40 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Silikonlist | 0,00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Rubber TPE | 0,16 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Glue | 0,04 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Sealant | 0,05 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Waterproof agent | 0,01 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Packaging | Weight, kg | Post-consumer material, weight-\% | Renewable material, weight-\% |
| Plastic film (stretch film) | 0,05 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Plywood | 0,36 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Screw | 0,02 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Edge protection (cardboard) | 0,19 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Cardboard angle | 0,06 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Top cover (plastic film) | 0,06 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Pallet (wooden) | 2,60 | 0\% | 100\% |

The product documented within this EPD contains no substances in the REACH Candidate list or from the candidate list of SVHC for Authorisation. Furthermore, the product does not contain any substances from the Norwegian priority list.

## Environmental Information

Disclaimers about results for the environmental impact: Note that the LCIA results are relative expressions, which means that they do not predict impacts on category endpoints or the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risk.

## Potential environmental impact - mandatory indicators according to EN 15804

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | Tot. A1-A3 | A4 | A5 | B2 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D |
| GWP-fossil | kg CO 2 eq. | 4,48E+01 | 6,20E+00 | 2,48E+00 | 5,35E+01 | 2,71E+00 | 1,59E-01 | 2,37E+00 | 4,64E-01 | 1,93E-02 | 7,20E+00 | $-4,95 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| GWP-biogenic | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | $-2,38 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5,28E-03 | 8,51E+00 | -1,53E+01 | 2,34E-03 | 2,59E+00 | -4,80E-01 | 3,96E-04 | 8,13E-04 | 1,34E+01 | -2,36E-02 |
| GWP-Iuluc | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | 9,24E-02 | 2,44E-03 | 6,39E-03 | 1,01E-01 | 1,08E-03 | 1,08E-05 | 1,48E-01 | 1,82E-04 | 1,11E-04 | 1,43E-03 | -4,78E-02 |
| GWP-total | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | 2,12E+01 | 6,21E+00 | 1,11E+01 | 3,85E+01 | 2,71E+00 | 2,74E+00 | 2,04E+00 | 4,65E-01 | 2,03E-02 | 2,06E+01 | $-5,04 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| ODP | kg CFC 11 eq . | 2,36E-06 | 1,43E-06 | 2,52E-07 | 4,05E-06 | 6,28E-07 | 3,62E-09 | 3,74E-07 | 1,07E-07 | 6,85E-10 | 2,03E-07 | -3,82E-07 |
| AP | mol $\mathrm{H}^{+}$eq. | 2,63E-01 | 2,54E-02 | 1,83E-02 | 3,07E-01 | 7,70E-03 | 5,95E-04 | 1,60E-02 | 1,88E-03 | 7,44E-05 | 7,01E-03 | -3,37E-02 |
| EP-freshwater | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{PO} 4^{3-}$ eq. | 3,12E-02 | 1,23E-03 | 2,50E-03 | 3,49E-02 | 5,45E-04 | 3,51E-05 | 2,53E-03 | 9,18E-05 | 2,37E-05 | 5,23E-04 | -7,44E-03 |
| EP-freshwater | kg P eq. | 1,02E-02 | 3,99E-04 | 8,15E-04 | 1,14E-02 | 1,78E-04 | 1,14E-05 | 8,24E-04 | 2,99E-05 | 7,71E-06 | 1,70E-04 | -2,42E-03 |
| EP-marine | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{eq}$. | 2,74E-02 | 7,64E-03 | 5,45E-03 | 4,05E-02 | 1,56E-03 | 2,96E-04 | 4,58E-03 | 5,67E-04 | 1,52E-05 | 2,88E-03 | -7,16E-03 |
| EP-terrestrial | mol Neq . | 3,10E-01 | 8,35E-02 | 5,89E-02 | 4,52E-01 | 1,70E-02 | 3,14E-03 | 3,39E-02 | 6,20E-03 | 1,63E-04 | 2,94E-02 | -9,27E-02 |
| POCP | kg NMVOC <br> eq. | 1,06E-01 | 2,55E-02 | 1,79E-02 | 1,50E-01 | 6,55E-03 | 8,19E-04 | 1,10E-02 | 1,90E-03 | 4,39E-05 | 8,22E-03 | -2,57E-02 |
| ADPminerals\&metals* | kg Sb eq. | 4,20E-04 | 2,15E-05 | 1,16E-04 | 5,58E-04 | 9,61E-06 | 9,98E-08 | 3,78E-05 | 1,61E-06 | 5,57E-07 | 3,22E-06 | -3,78E-05 |
| ADP-fossil* | MJ | 7,04E+02 | 9,37E+01 | 3,08E+01 | 8,29E+02 | 4,11E+01 | 2,11E-01 | 4,14E+01 | 7,02E+00 | 3,28E-01 | 1,48E+01 | $-6,50 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| WDP | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1,08E+01 | 2,81E-01 | 8,42E-01 | 1,19E+01 | 1,25E-01 | 8,10E-03 | 1,95E+01 | 2,10E-02 | 2,00E-02 | 1,16E-02 | $-1,22 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

GWP-fossil = Global Warming Potential fossil fuels; GWP-biogenic = Global Warming Potential biogenic; GWP-luluc = Global Warming Potential land use and land use change; ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance; EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater
Acronyms end compartment; EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment; EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone; ADP-minerals\&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources; ADP-fossil =Abiotic depletion for fossil resources potential; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption

[^0]Potential environmental impact - additional mandatory and voluntary indicators

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tot. } \\ \text { A1-A3 } \end{gathered}$ | A4 | A5 | B2 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D |
| GWP-GHG ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | 44,59 | 6,15 | 2,47 | 53,21 | 2,69 | 0,16 | 2,34 | 0,46 | 0,02 | 7,19 | -4,85 |

## Use of resources

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tot. } \\ \text { A1-A3 } \end{gathered}$ | A4 | A5 | B2 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D |
| PERE | MJ | 30,05 | 1,32 | 82,63 | 114,00 | 0,59 | 0,01 | 12,05 | 0,10 | 3,35 | 0,29 | -189,75 |
| PERM | MJ | 319,20 | 0,00 | 30,72 | 349,92 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| PERT | MJ | 349,25 | 1,32 | 113,35 | 463,93 | 0,59 | 0,01 | 12,05 | 0,10 | 3,35 | 0,29 | -189,75 |
| PENRE | MJ | 677,30 | 99,53 | 30,34 | 807,17 | 43,62 | 0,23 | 44,58 | 7,45 | 0,34 | 15,81 | -68,47 |
| PENRM | MJ. | 45,41 | 0,00 | 2,44 | 47,85 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| PENRT | MJ | 722,71 | 99,53 | 32,78 | 855,02 | 43,62 | 0,23 | 44,58 | 7,45 | 0,34 | 15,81 | -68,47 |
| SM | kg | 6,30 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 6,30 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| RSF | MJ | 0,00 | 0,00 | 61,56 | 61,56 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| NRSF | MJ | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| FW | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 0,33 | 0,02 | 0,02 | 0,37 | 0,01 | 0,00 | 1,13 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,02 | -0,08 |
| Acronyms | PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources; PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy re-sources; SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Use of net fresh water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Waste production and output flows

## Waste production

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tot. } \\ \text { A1-A3 } \end{gathered}$ | A4 | A5 | B2 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D |
| Hazardous waste disposed | kg | 0,43 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,43 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Non-hazardous waste disposed | kg | 14,79 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 14,79 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Radioactive waste disposed | kg | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |

Output flows

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tot. } \\ \text { A1-A3 } \end{gathered}$ | A4 | A5 | B2 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D |
| Components for reuse | kg | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Material for recycling | kg | 0,04 | 0,00 | 4,29 | 4,33 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 1,16 | 0,00 |
| Materials for energy recovery | kg | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,99 | 0,99 | 0,00 | 1,51 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 12,18 | 0,00 |
| Exported energy, electricity | MJ | 0,04 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,04 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Exported energy, thermal | MJ | 0,06 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,06 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |

Information on biogenic carbon content

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BIOGENIC CARBON CONTENT | Unit | QUANTITY |
| Biogenic carbon content in product | kg C | $\mathbf{8 , 4}$ |
| Biogenic carbon content in packaging | kg C | $\mathbf{0 , 8}$ |

Note: 1 kg biogenic carbon is equivalent to $44 / 12 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

## Additional information

 Harmonisation with EPD NorwayThis scenario for module A4 is added for the harmonisation of EPD International and EPD Norway. The requirement of the Norwegian EPD Foundation (Nordic MRA) for the mutual recognition of product category rules (PCRs) and environmental product declarations (EPDs) of all product categories is that a declaration of additional scenario information and LCA results for module A4transport from production site to central warehouse in Oslo must be assessed. The results for the A4 module being transported from the production site to Oslo are presented in tables below. A transport scenario was assessed for transport from Lenhovda to Oslo ( 527 km ) instead of to Rolvsøy ( 447 km ), using a truck EURO6.

Potential environmental impact - mandatory indicators according to EN 15804

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Unit | A4 |
| GWP-fossil | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | $3,18 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| GWP-biogenic | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | 2,75E-03 |
| GWP-Iuluc | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | 1,27E-03 |
| GWP-total | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | 3,19E+00 |
| ODP | kg CFC 11 eq . | 7,38E-07 |
| AP | mol $\mathrm{H}^{+}$eq. | 9,04E-03 |
| EP-freshwater | $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{PO} 4{ }^{3-}$ eq. | 6,40E-04 |
| EP-freshwater | kg P eq. | 2,09E-04 |
| EP-marine | kg N eq. | 1,84E-03 |
| EP-terrestrial | mol Neq . | 2,00E-02 |
| POCP | kg NMVOC eq. | 7,70E-03 |
| ADP- <br> minerals\&metals* | kg Sb eq. | 1,13E-05 |
| ADP-fossil* | MJ | 4,83E+01 |
| WDP | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1,47E-01 |

Potential environmental impact - additional mandatory and voluntary indicators

Results per functional or declared unit

| Indicator | Unit | A4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWP-GHG $^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ eq. | 3,16 |

[^2]
## Use of resources

| Results per functional or declared unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Unit | A4 |
| PERE | MJ | 0,69 |
| PERM | MJ | 0,00 |
| PERT | MJ | 0,69 |
| PENRE | MJ | 51,23 |
| PENRM | MJ. | 0,00 |
| PENRT | MJ | 51,23 |
| SM | kg | 0,00 |
| RSF | MJ | 0,00 |
| NRSF | MJ | 0,00 |
| FW | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 0,01 |
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## ANNEX 1

## ANNEX 1: Self declaration from EPD owner

## Specific requirements

## 1 Applied electricity data set used in the manufacturing phase

The electricity mix for the electricity used in manufacturing (A3) is the electricity grid mix:
$100 \%$ wind power certified by guarantee of origin, i.e. A3 footprint is $1,20 \mathrm{E}+01 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{FU}$ for $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{P}-$ 08016. The windpower footprint is calculated using ecoinvent data, so it is treated as background data and we did not use any specific emissions factor of $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{MJ}$. The following electricity dataset from ecoinvent 3.8 was used:

Electricity, high voltage $\{S E\} \mid$ electricity production, wind, $>3 \mathrm{MW}$ turbine, onshore | Cut-off, U
I believe this should provide sufficient transparency allowing full reproducability that is required in an EPD documentation. The other energy sources are presented in table 13 of the LCA report, which presents the weighted consumption values.

## 2 Transport from the place of manufacture to a central warehouse

Transport distance, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-eqv./DU from transport of the product from factory gate to central warehouse in Oslo shall be given. The following table shall be included in the EPD:

See table 1 on page 12 of each individual EPD for specific data for each product.

| Type | Capacity utilisation (ind. return) \% | Type of vehicle | Distance km | Fuel/Energy use | Unit | Value ( $1 / \mathrm{t}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{CO} 2- \\ & \text { eqv./DU } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck | <xx> | ```<Truck xX tonn, EURO4,?>``` | <xXXX> | <xxxx> | 1/tkm | <xXXX> |  |
| Railway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Norwegian EPD Foundation

## 3 Impact on the indoor environment

$\square$ Indoor air emission testing has been performed; specify test method and reference; M1,
$\square \quad$ No test has being performed
$\square \quad$ Not relevant; During usage, no indoor emissions arise. The paint used is water based and all the other raw materials do not emit any emissions.


[^0]:    * Disclaimer: The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties of these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The indicator includes all greenhouse gases included in GWP-total but excludes biogenic carbon dioxide uptake and emissions and biogenic carbon stored in the product. This indicator is thus almost equal to the GWP indicator originally defined in EN 15804:2012+A1:2013.

[^2]:    2 The indicator includes all greenhouse gases included in GWP-total but excludes biogenic carbon dioxide uptake and emissions and biogenic carbon stored in the product. This indicator is thus almost equal to the GWP indicator originally defined in EN 15804:2012+A1:2013.

