

# Environmental product declaration

in accordance with ISO 14025 and EN 15804+A2

## NorDan Bor External Door with grooved decor (without glass)





**Owner of the declaration:** NorDan AS

### Product:

NorDan Bor External Door with grooved decor (without glass)

Declared unit:

1 pcs

**This declaration is based on Product Category Rules:** CEN Standard EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 serves as core PCR NPCR 014:2019 Part B for Windows and doors **Program operator:** The Norwegian EPD Foundation

#### Declaration number:

NEPD-5995-5267-EN

**Registration number:** 

NEPD-5995-5267-EN

Issue date: 06.02.2024

Valid to: 06.02.2029

EPD software: LCAno EPD generator ID: 188110

The Norwegian EPD Foundation



### **General information**

### Product

NorDan Bor External Door with grooved decor (without glass)

#### Program operator:

Post Box 5250 Majorstuen, 0303 Oslo, Norway The Norwegian EPD Foundation Phone: +47 23 08 80 00 web: post@epd-norge.no

### **Declaration number:**

NEPD-5995-5267-EN

### This declaration is based on Product Category Rules:

CEN Standard EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 serves as core PCR NPCR 014:2019 Part B for Windows and doors

### Statement of liability:

The owner of the declaration shall be liable for the underlying information and evidence. EPD Norway shall not be liable with respect to manufacturer information, life cycle assessment data and evidences.

#### **Declared unit:**

1 pcs NorDan Bor External Door with grooved decor (without glass)

### Declared unit with option:

A1-A3,A4,A5,B2,B4,C1,C2,C3,C4,D

### Functional unit:

External door in size 1230x2180 mm (reference based on EN 14351-1). With expected service life of 30 yrs.

### General information on verification of EPD from EPD tools:

Independent verification of data, other environmental information and the declaration according to ISO 14025:2010, § 8.1.3 and § 8.1.4. Verification of each EPD is made according to EPD-Norway's guidelines for verification and approval requiring that tools are i) integrated into the company's environmental management system, ii) the procedures for use of the EPD tool are approved by EPD-Norway, and iii) the process is reviewed annually by an independent third party verifier. See Appendix G of EPD-Norway's General Programme Instructions for further information on EPD tools

### Verification of EPD tool:

Independent third party verification of the EPD tool, background data and test-EPD in accordance with EPDNorway's procedures and guidelines for verification and approval of EPD tools.

Third party verifier:

Gaylord K. Booto, Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU)

(no signature required)

#### **Owner of the declaration:**

NorDan AS Contact person: Fredrik Jonsson Phone: +46 (0) 10-130 01 78 e-mail: fredrik.jonsson@nordan.se

### Manufacturer:

NorDan AB Grebbestadsvägen 8 457 91 Tanumshede, Sweden

### Place of production:

Bor NorDan AB Kvadervägen 331 74 Bor, Sweden

### Management system:

NS-ISO 9001:2015, NS-EN ISO 14001:2015

### **Organisation no:**

979 776 233

Issue date: 06.02.2024

Valid to: 06.02.2029

### Year of study:

2020

#### **Comparability:**

EPD of construction products may not be comparable if they not comply with EN 15804 and seen in a building context.

### **Development and verification of EPD:**

The declaration is created using EPD tool lca.tools ver EPD2022.03, developed by LCA.no. The EPD tool is integrated in the company's management system, and has been approved by EPD Norway.

Developer of EPD: Jonas Jonsson

Reviewer of company-specific input data and EPD: Linda Jonsson

Approved:

Håkon Hauan, CEO EPD-Norge



### Product

### **Product description:**

External door for use in exterior walls of domestic and commercial buildings.

### **Product specification**

NorDan Bor external door with grooved decor (without window) in size 1230x2180 mm is declared in this EPD. This EPD is based on the model 835 Vega and covers several door models. Minor differences in material consumption on the following models 302, 310, 312, 314, 848, 870, 871, 872, 875, 892, 911, 992

Materials	kg	%
Adhesive and sealant	0,44	0,70
Aluminium	1,37	2,16
Coating materials	1,42	2,24
Gasket	0,33	0,53
Insulation	2,11	3,34
Medium Density Fibreboard	16,45	25,98
Metal	17,75	28,04
Plastic	0,11	0,17
Wood	23,32	36,84
Total	63,30	
Packaging	kg	%
Packaging - Cardboard	5,15	53,73
Packaging - Plastic	0,17	1,78
Packaging - Steel	0,06	0,66
Packaging - Wood	4,20	43,83
Total incl. packaging	72,88	

### **Technical data:**

External door, 105 mm frame with 69 mm door leaf. U-value 0,76 W/m2K The total weight of the product is 63,3 kg. The packaging has a average weight of 9,58 kg. Area of functional unit 2,68 m2. Conversion factor is 0,373 for 1 m2.

#### Market:

Europe, but scenarios beyond cradle-to-gate are based on the situation in the Norwegian market.

### **Reference service life, product**

The reference service life is 30 years for external doors.

### Reference service life, building or construction works

60 years



### LCA: Calculation rules

### Declared unit:

1 pcs NorDan Bor External Door with grooved decor (without glass)

### Cut-off criteria:

All major raw materials and all the essential energy is included. The production processes for raw materials and energy flows with very small amounts (less than 1%) are not included. These cut-off criteria do not apply for hazardous materials and substances.

### Allocation:

The allocation is made in accordance with the provisions of EN 15804. Effects of primary production of recycled materials is allocated to the main product in which the material was used. The recycling process and transportation of the material is allocated to this analysis. The PCR specific background data follow the allocation rules in the Ecoinvent v3.7.1 Cut-off database version. The allocation of water, energy and waste flows within the production facilities for windows and doors follows unit-based allocation adjusted with a point system to different product groups or products. This score system is regulated by a factor which increases with the resource intensity of each product. The unit-based allocation is adjusted by the weight of the product, excluding the weight of glass.

### Data quality:

Specific data for the product composition are provided by the manufacturer. The data represent the production of the declared product and were collected for EPD development in the year of study. Background data is based on EPDs according to EN 15804 and different LCA databases. The data quality of the raw materials in A1 is presented in the table below.

Material quantities of the specified product in reference size have been calculated by NorDan's business system.

The production data was collected in 2021 and is an average for 2020.

Materials	Source	Data quality	Year
Adhesive and sealant	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Aluminium	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Coating materials	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Gasket	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Insulation	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Medium Density Fibreboard	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Metal	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Packaging - Cardboard	ecoinvent 3.6	Database	2019
Packaging - Plastic	ecoinvent 3.6	Database	2019
Packaging - Steel	ecoinvent 3.6	Database	2019
Packaging - Wood	Modified ecoinvent 3.6	Database	2019
Plastic	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020
Wood	ecoinvent 3.7.1	Specific	2020



### System boundaries (X=included, MND=module not declared, MNR=module not relevant)

F	Product stag	ge		uction on stage				Use stage					End of life stage			Beyond the system boundaries
Raw materials	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport	Assembly	Use	Mainten an ce	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	De- construction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse-Recovery- Recycling-potential
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	MND	Х	MND	Х	MND	MND	MND	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

### System boundary:

Product Lifecycle Information									
	A:	L-A3	A4-A5	C1-C4	D				
	Product Stage		Construction Proces	Use	End of Life	Benefits of recovery			
A1	A2	A3	A4	B1-B5					
Extraction and production of raw materials	Transport of raw material	Cutting and planning Heating, combustion of hogs Impregnation Assembly of frame Painting of frame Assembly of complete product	Installation <b>B</b> Transport to building site	Use Maintenance Rpair Replacement Refurbishment B6 Operational energy use B7 Operational water use	De-construction Transport to waste processing Waste processing Waste disposal	Reuse- Recovery- Recycling- potential			

### Additional technical information:

For the products with different sizes from the declared unit, the environmental impacts must be converted by using a conversion factor. The Norwegian EPD Foundation has published instructions on how to interpret EPDs for windows on its website (www.epdnorge.no) where different calculation methods have been stated. (Document: Bruksanvisninger i hvordan tolke EPD'er - Vinduer).



### LCA: Scenarios and additional technical information

The following information describe the scenarios in the different modules of the EPD.

#### A4

The transport from production to construction site is based on a scenario where the product is transported from factory to terminal which is assumed to be 75 km on a medium-sized truck. Transport from terminal to warehouse in Oslo, Norway on a large lorry (439 km). Transport from warehouse to a construction site is assumed to be 50 km on a medium truck.

### A5

According to the report from EPD-Norge Harmonising the documentation of scenarios beyond cradle to gate, EN 15804 there is no loss on site during construction activities. The door product in this EPD is painted and surface treated in the production and not at the building site. Therefore, there is only 2 items left in this module. 1) Waste treatment of packaging which is considered in the EPD calculations. 1) Energy use during installation. This can be varied depending on the floor, type of building and several other unknown parameters, and therefore ignored in the calculation.

### B2/B3

The maintenance scenario includes cleaning and painting. Cleaning is performed two times per year. It is calculated with 30 ml of detergent and 3 liters of water each year. Doors are assumed to be painted 1 time during its lifetime from inside and 2 times from the outside. It is assumed that 5 gr of lubricating oil is used every year for fittings and moving parts. No repair is assumed during the product lifetime.

### B4/B5

\* Number or RSL (Reference Service Life). The door has RSL of 30 years and is assumed to have one entire door replacement during the lifetime of the building. It is assumed that this change is occurred after 30 years. There is no need for refurbishment during the product lifetime.

### C1

As there are no data for de-construction, it is assumed no activities in C1 in this study. The door is assumed to be treated as mixed waste and sent to incineration. The combustible materials are then energy recovered, while glass is assumed to end up in the bottom ash and then landfilled. The metals are usually sorted out of the bottom ash and then recycled, but there is no data of the share which are recycled and therefore standard values from Ecoinvent is utilized.

### C2

The transport of doors as waste is calculated based on a scenario with 50 km distance.

### C3

Doors are assumed to be sorted as mixed construction waste and treated with incineration with energy recovery.

BPD (building product declaration) is published on NorDan's public document system, NDocs.

Name: BPD\_External door with groove decor (without glass). Searchable ID number: 00098F

URL: https://portal.nordan.no/ndocs/search?category=document\_EPD

In the documentation, Chapter 10, the specific material recovery, and energy recovery potential is reported for the product.

### C1, C3, C4

The benefits beyond life cycle have been modeled based on the output flows from module C3. This includes energy from incineration and scrap metal recovered from the ashes. The amount recovered metal is assumed to avoid production of primary metals in accordance to 6.4.3.3 in EN 15804. The exported energy is substituting Norwegian district heating mix and electricity mix. Inventory processes causing substitution of avoided virgin raw materials has been constructed for each material.

Transport from production place to user (A4)	Capacity utilisation (incl. return) %	Distance (km)	Fuel/Energy Consumption	Unit	Value (Liter/tonne)
Truck, 16-32 tonnes, EURO 6 (kgkm) - RER	36,7 %	125	0,043	l/tkm	5,38
Truck, over 32 tonnes, EURO 6 (kgkm) - RER	53,3 %	439	0,023	l/tkm	10, 10
Assembly (A5)	Unit	Value			
Waste, metal, average treatment (kg)	kg	0,06			
Waste, packaging, folding boxboard, to average treatment (kg)	kg	5,15			
Waste, packaging, pallet, EUR wooden pallet, reusable, average treatment (kg) A5	kg	4,20			
Waste, packaging, PET straps, 0% recycled, to average treatment (kg)	kg	0,07			
Waste, packaging, plastic film (LDPE), to average treatment (kg)	kg	0,10			
Maintenance (B2)	Unit	Value			
Detergent, Husvask (kg)	kg/DU	1,84			
Lubricating oil (kg) - RER	kg/DU	0,30			
Paint, 40% water, wet mass (kg)	kg/DU	2,44			
Truck, over 32 tonnes, EURO 5 (kgkm) - RER	kgkm/DU	1374,00			
Waste paint, 40% water, wet mass, incineration in Norway (kg)	kg	2,44			
Water, tap water (kg) - Europe without Switzerland	kg/DU	180,00			



Replacement (B4)	Unit	Value			
Replacement (p)	Units/DU	1,00			
Waste treatment of replaced materials (p)	Units	1,00			
	Capacity utilisation	Distance (lum)	Fuel/Freeman Communities	Unit	Value
Transport to waste processing (C2)	(incl. return) %	Distance (km)	Fuel/Energy Consumption		(Liter/tonne)
Truck, unspecified (kgkm) - RER	48,7 %	50	0,051	l/tkm	2,55
Waste processing (C3)	Unit	Value			
Materials to recycling (kg) Waste treatment per kg Expanded Polystyrene	kg	5,93			
(EPS), incineration (kg)	kg	2,12			
Waste treatment per kg Hazardous waste, incineration (kg)	kg	0,00			
Waste treatment per kg municipal solid waste, incineration with fly ash extraction (kg)	kg	0,00			
Waste treatment per kg Paint, hazardous waste incineration (kg) - C3	kg	1,41			
Waste treatment per kg Plastic, Mixture, municipal incineration with fly ash extraction (kg)	kg	0,13			
Waste treatment per kg Polyurethane (PU), incineration (kg)	kg	1,98			
Waste treatment per kg Rubber, municipal incineration with fly ash extraction (kg)	kg	0,33			
Waste treatment per kg Scrap aluminium, incineration with fly ash extraction (kg) - CH - C3	kg	2,37			
Waste treatment per kg Scrap steel, incineration with fly ash extraction (kg) - CH - C3	kg	16,75			
Waste treatment per kg Wood, from incineration	kg	42,61			
(kg)	-				
Disposal (C4)	Unit	Value			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of expanded polystyrene (EPS), process per kg ashes and residues - C4 (kg)	kg	0,08			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Hazardous waste, from incineration (kg)	kg	0,00			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Municipal solid waste, process per kg ashes and residues (kg)	kg	0,00			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Plastics, Mixture, municipal incineration with fly ash extraction, process per kg ashes and residues (kg)	kg	0,00			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Polyurethane (PU), process per kg ashes and residues - C4 (kg)	kg	0,08			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Rubber, process per kg ashes and residues - C4 (kg)	kg	0,02			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Scrap aluminium, process of ashes and residues (kg) - CH - C4	kg	2,12			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Scrap steel, process of ashes and residues (kg) - CH - C4	kg	11,07			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration of Wood, process per kg ashes and residues - C4 (kg)	kg	0,49			
Landfilling of ashes from incineration per kg Paint, hazardous waste incineration (kg)	kg	0,04			
Benefits and loads beyond the system	11.4	V.			
boundaries (D)	Unit	Value			
Substitution of electricity, in Norway (MJ)	MJ	36,30			
Substitution of primary aluminium with net scrap (kg)	kg	0,11			
Substitution of primary steel with net scrap (kg)	kg	0,53			
Substitution of thermal energy, district heating, in Norway (MJ)	MJ	549,20			



### LCA: Results

The LCA results are presented below for the declared unit defined on page 2 of the EPD document.

Envir	Environmental impact											
	Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2	B4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
P	GWP-total	kg CO <sub>2</sub> - eq	5,30E+01	4,28E+00	1,51E+01	1,38E+01	7,24E+01	0	4,80E-01	8,34E+01	1,88E-01	-4,85E+00
P	GWP-fossil	kg CO <sub>2</sub> - eq	1,30E+02	4,27E+00	1,03E-01	1,20E+01	1,34E+02	0	4,80E-01	1,86E+01	1,88E-01	-4,71E+00
P	GWP-biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> - eq	-7,79E+01	1,81E-03	1,50E+01	3,10E-02	-6,29E+01	0	2,06E-04	6,47E+01	1,31E-04	-1,12E-02
P	GWP-luluc	kg CO <sub>2</sub> - eq	1,07E+00	1,38E-03	3,03E-05	1,77E+00	1,07E+00	0	1,70E-04	5,84E-04	5,03E-05	-1,28E-01
Ò	ODP	kg CFC11 - eq	1,46E-05	1,01E-06	1,95E-08	1,01E-06	1,56E-05	0	1,09E-07	3,64E-07	4,94E-08	-2,32E-01
	AP	mol H+ -eq	8,55E-01	1,33E-02	4,60E-04	1,03E-01	8,69E-01	0	2,73E-03	1,70E-02	1,18E-03	-3,55E-02
	EP-FreshWater	kg P -eq	6,70E-03	3,41E-05	7,86E-07	5,84E-04	6,74E-03	0	3,95E-06	2,15E-05	2,03E-06	-3,55E-04
	EP-Marine	kg N -eq	1,93E-01	2,81E-03	1,66E-04	1,34E-02	1,96E-01	0	9,78E-04	7,30E-03	4,12E-04	-9,98E-03
	EP-Terrestial	mol N - eq	2,14E+00	3,14E-02	1,69E-03	9,32E-02	2,17E+00	0	1,08E-02	7,56E-02	4,58E-03	-1,08E-01
	РОСР	kg NMVOC -eq	6,73E-01	1,22E-02	4,83E-04	4,27E-02	6,86E-01	0	3,08E-03	1,93E-02	1,31E-03	-3,15E-02
<b>45</b> 0	ADP- minerals&metals <sup>1</sup>	kg Sb- eq	4,37E-03	9,08E-05	2,21E-06	1,12E-04	4,46E-03	0	1,24E-05	7,96E-06	2,74E-06	-4,03E-05
B	ADP-fossil <sup>1</sup>	MJ	2,47E+03	6,78E+01	1,30E+00	1,58E+02	2,54E+03	0	7,36E+00	2,51E+01	3,70E+00	-6,25E+01
<b>%</b>	WDP <sup>1</sup>	m <sup>3</sup>	7,05E+04	5,65E+01	1,79E+00	5,09E+01	7,05E+04	0	6,98E+00	9,70E+01	1,06E+01	-1,08E+03

GWP-total = Global Warming Potential total; GWP-fossil = Global Warming Potential fossil fuels; GWP-biogenic = Global Warming Potential biogenic; GWP-luluc = Global Warming Potential land use and land use change; ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance; EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment: EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment; EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone; ADP-minerals&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources; ADP-fossil = Abiotic depletion for fossil resources potential; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption

"Reading example: 9,0 E-03 = 9,0\*10-3 = 0,009" \*INA Indicator Not Assessed

1. The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with the indicator

### **Remarks to environmental impacts**

Global warming potential in A1-A3 includes sequestration of carbon in the wood. This amount is accounted as an emission in module C3. Additionally, it is included sequestration in the wood packaging. This is accounted as an emission in module A5.



Addi	Additional environmental impact indicators											
Ind	icator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2	B4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
	PM	Disease incidence	1,82E-05	3,47E-07	6,74E-09	5,13E-07	1,86E-05	0	4,37E-08	2,16E-07	2,06E-08	-1,70E-06
	IRP <sup>2</sup>	kgBq U235 -eq	2,85E+01	2,96E-01	5,54E-03	4,04E-01	2,88E+01	0	3,22E-02	9,80E-02	1,51E-02	-3,41E-01
	ETP-fw <sup>1</sup>	CTUe	5,39E+03	4,98E+01	1,69E+00	2,34E+02	5,45E+03	0	5,50E+00	6,33E+01	2,67E+00	-2,94E+02
40.** 40.**	HTP-c <sup>1</sup>	CTUh	7,47E-07	0,00E+00	5,60E-11	1,95E-08	7,47E-07	0	0,00E+00	1,29E-08	1,06E-10	-9,73E-09
48 00	HTP-nc <sup>1</sup>	CTUh	4,02E-06	5,02E-08	2,42E-09	1,97E-07	4,07E-06	0	7,29E-09	1,24E-07	3,19E-09	-2,04E-07
ò	SQP <sup>1</sup>	dimensionless	1,23E+04	6,76E+01	9,26E-01	1,44E+02	1,23E+04	0	6,30E+00	1,02E+01	8,59E+00	-3,05E+02

PM = Particulate Matter emissions; IRP = Ionizing radiation – human health; ETP-fw = Eco toxicity – freshwater; HTP-c = Human toxicity – cancer effects; HTP-nc = Human toxicity – non cancer effects; SQP = Potential Soil Quality Index (dimensionless)

"Reading example: 9,0 E-03 = 9,0\*10-3 = 0,009" \*INA Indicator Not Assessed

1. The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with the indicator

2. This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.



Resource use												
	licator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2	B4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
ir S	PERE	MJ	1,46E+03	8,92E-01	2,21E-02	2,59E+01	1,46E+03	0	1,06E-01	4,17E+00	8,44E-02	-2,86E+02
A	PERM	MJ	8,75E+02	0,00E+00	-1,99E+02	0,00E+00	6,76E+02	0	0,00E+00	-6,76E+02	0,00E+00	0,00E+00
° <b>≓s</b>	PERT	MJ	2,34E+03	8,92E-01	-1,99E+02	2,59E+01	2,14E+03	0	1,06E-01	-6,71E+02	8,43E-02	-2,86E+02
Ð	PENRE	MJ	2,28E+03	6,78E+01	1,30E+00	1,61E+02	2,35E+03	0	7,36E+00	2,51E+01	3,71E+00	-6,24E+01
.År	PENRM	MJ	1,65E+02	0,00E+00	-5,88E+00	0,00E+00	1,59E+02	0	0,00E+00	-1,57E+02	0,00E+00	0,00E+00
IA	PENRT	MJ	2,44E+03	6,78E+01	-4,58E+00	1,61E+02	2,50E+03	0	7,36E+00	-1,31E+02	3,71E+00	-6,24E+01
	SM	kg	2,19E-01	0,00E+00	0,00E+00	0,00E+00	2,19E-01	0	0,00E+00	0,00E+00	0,00E+00	0,00E+00
P	RSF	MJ	3,40E+00	3,14E-02	7,20E-04	3,45E-02	3,44E+00	0	3,76E-03	8,70E-02	2,20E-03	-3,00E-02
D.	NRSF	MJ	4,68E+00	1,08E-01	3,20E-03	1,48E-02	4,80E+00	0	1,32E-02	0,00E+00	2,64E-01	-1,61E+01
\$	FW	m <sup>3</sup>	3,40E+00	7,56E-03	6,29E-04	3,55E-01	3,41E+00	0	8,33E-04	2,40E-02	3,35E-03	-3,64E-01

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources; PENRE = Use of non renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources; SENRE = Use of non renewable primary energy resources; SENRE = Use of secondary materials; PENRT = Total use of non renewable primary energy resources; SM = Use of secondary materials; RERT = Total use of non renewable primary energy resources; SM = Use of secondary materials; RERT = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Net use of fresh water

"Reading example: 9,0 E-03 = 9,0\*10-3 = 0,009" \*INA Indicator Not Assessed



End of li	End of life - Waste											
Ind	licator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2	B4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
	HWD	kg	3,44E+00	3,64E-03	0,00E+00	8,28E-02	3,44E+00	0	3,97E-04	0,00E+00	1,36E+01	-1,19E-03
Ū	NHWD	kg	3,97E+01	5,03E+00	5,59E+00	3,81E+00	5,03E+01	0	4,56E-01	8,05E-03	4,08E-01	-1,59E+00
æ	RWD	kg	1,58E-02	4,62E-04	0,00E+00	4,53E-04	1,63E-02	0	5,01E-05	0,00E+00	2,25E-05	-2,86E-04

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed; NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed; RWD = Radioactive waste disposed

"Reading example: 9,0 E-03 = 9,0\*10-3 = 0,009" \*INA Indicator Not Assessed

End of life	End of life - Output flow											
Indic	ator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2	B4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
$\otimes$	CRU	kg	0,00E+00	0,00E+00	3,99E+00	0,00E+00	3,99E+00	0	0,00E+00	0,00E+00	0,00E+00	0,00E+00
3	MFR	kg	4,02E+00	0,00E+00	4,94E+00	0,00E+00	8,96E+00	0	0,00E+00	5,93E+00	0,00E+00	0,00E+00
DF	MER	kg	6,92E+00	0,00E+00	5,68E-01	0,00E+00	7,49E+00	0	0,00E+00	6,63E+01	0,00E+00	0,00E+00
50	EEE	MJ	3,94E+00	0,00E+00	4,39E-01	1,44E+00	4,38E+00	0	0,00E+00	3,68E+01	0,00E+00	0,00E+00
DI	EET	MJ	5,30E+01	0,00E+00	6,65E+00	9,99E+00	5,97E+01	0	0,00E+00	5,57E+02	0,00E+00	0,00E+00

CRU = Components for re-use; MFR = Materials for recycling; MER = Materials for energy recovery; EEE = Exported energy electrical; EET = Exported energy thermal

"Reading example: 9,0 E-03 = 9,0\*10-3 = 0,009" \*INA Indicator Not Assessed

Biogenic Carbon Content									
Indicator	Unit	At the factory gate							
Biogenic carbon content in product	kg C	2,05E+01							
Biogenic carbon content in accompanying packaging	kg C	4,10E+00							

Note: 1 kg biogenic carbon is equivalent to 44/12 kg CO2



### **Additional requirements**

### Greenhouse gas emissions from the use of electricity in the manufacturing phase

National production mix from import, low voltage (production of transmission lines, in addition to direct emissions and losses in grid) of applied electricity for the manufacturing process (A3).

Electricity mix	Data source	Amount	Unit
Electricity, Poland (kWh)	ecoinvent 3.6	1060,47	g CO2-eq/kWh
Electricity, Sweden (kWh)	ecoinvent 3.6	54,94	g CO2-eq/kWh

#### **Dangerous substances**

The product contains substances given by the REACH Candidate list that are less than 0,1 % by weight.

#### Indoor environment

The product has not been tested for emissions to indoor environments.

### **Additional Environmental Information**

Additional environmental impact indicators required in NPCR Part A for construction products											
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B2	B4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
GWPIOBC	kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq	1,36E+02	4,28E+00	1,03E-01	1,38E+01	1,40E+02	0	4,80E-01	1,86E+01	1,90E-01	-5,05E+00

GWP-IOBC: Global warming potential calculated according to the principle of instantaneous oxidation. In order to increase the transparency of biogenic carbon contribution to climate impact, the indicator GWP-IOBC is required as it declares climate impacts calculated according to the principle of instantaneous oxidation. GWP-IOBC is also referred to as GWP-GHG in context to Swedish public procurement legislation.



### Bibliography

ISO 14025:2010 Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations - Principles and procedures.

ISO 14044:2006 Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Requirements and guidelines.

EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 Environmental product declaration - Core rules for the product category of construction products. ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works - Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products.

ecoinvent v3, Allocation, cut-off by classification, Swiss Centre of Life Cycle Inventories.

Iversen et al., (2021) eEPD v2021.09 Background information for EPD generator tool system verification, LCA.no Report number: 07.21 Iversen et al., (2022) EPD generator for EPD generator for NPCR 014

Part B for Windows and doors, Background information for EPD generator application and LCA data, LCA.no report number: 17.22

NPCR Part A: Construction products and services. Ver. 2.0. April 2021, EPD-Norge.

NPCR 014 Part B for Windows and doors, Ver. 4.0, 20.09.2021, EPD Norway.

EPD Norway Bruksanvisninger i hvordan tolke EPD'er - Vinduer URL: https://www.epd-norge.no [in Norwegian]

	· · · -	-
and norway	Program operator and publisher	Phone: +47 23 08 80 00
🕲 epd-norway	The Norwegian EPD Foundation	e-mail: post@epd-norge.no
Global Program Operator	Post Box 5250 Majorstuen, 0303 Oslo, Norway	web: www.epd-norge.no
A state	Owner of the declaration:	Phone: +46 (0) 10-130 01 78
<b>NorDan</b>	NorDan AS	e-mail: fredrik.jonsson@nordan.se
X	Stasjonsveien 46, 4460 Moi	web: www.nordan.no
	Author of the Life Cycle Assessment	Phone: +47 98 85 33 33
Treteknisk 🕥	Norsk Treteknisk Institutt	e-mail: firmapost@treteknisk.no
	Postboks 113 Blindern, 0314	web: www.treteknisk.no
$\left(\right)$	Developer of EPD generator	Phone: +47 916 50 916
(LCA)	LCA.no AS	e-mail: post@lca.no
no	Dokka 6B,1671 Kråkerøy	web: www.lca.no
	ECO Platform	web: www.eco-platform.org
	ECO Portal	web: ECO Portal